

Spectral numbers in Floer theories

Michael Usher

December 18, 2007

Let M be a closed manifold, and let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Morse function.

Where

$$Crit_k(f) = \{p \in M \mid (df)_p = 0, \text{ind}_f p = k\}$$

write

$$CM_k(f) = \bigoplus_{p \in Crit_k(f)} \mathbb{Z}\langle p \rangle.$$

Let M be a closed manifold, and let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Morse function.

Where

$$\text{Crit}_k(f) = \{p \in M \mid (df)_p = 0, \text{ind}_f p = k\}$$

write

$$CM_k(f) = \bigoplus_{p \in \text{Crit}_k(f)} \mathbb{Z}\langle p \rangle.$$

The *Morse chain complex* of f is $CM_*(f) = \bigoplus_k CM_k(f)$, with a differential obtained from the negative gradient flow of f .

The differential

With respect to a generic metric on M , the following holds:

If $p, q \in \text{Crit}(f)$ with $\text{ind}_f p = \text{ind}_f q + 1$, then (up to \mathbb{R} -translation) there are finitely many solutions $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ to

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma'(t) &= -\nabla f(\gamma(t)) \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \gamma(t) &= p \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(t) &= q.\end{aligned}$$

The differential

With respect to a generic metric on M , the following holds:

If $p, q \in \text{Crit}(f)$ with $\text{ind}_f p = \text{ind}_f q + 1$, then (up to \mathbb{R} -translation) there are finitely many solutions $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ to

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma'(t) &= -\nabla f(\gamma(t)) \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \gamma(t) &= p \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(t) &= q.\end{aligned}$$

Denote the number of such solutions (counted with appropriate signs, or mod 2) by $n(p, q)$, and define

$$\partial : CM_k(f) \rightarrow CM_{k-1}(f)$$

by

$$\partial p = \sum_q n(p, q)q.$$

The homology

Theorem (Thom, Smale,...)

$\partial^2 = 0$, and the resulting homology groups satisfy

$$HM_k(f) \cong H_k(M),$$

canonically.

The filtration

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, put

$$CM_*^\lambda(f) = \left\{ \sum_i n_i p_i \in CM_*(f) \mid n_i \neq 0 \Rightarrow f(p_i) \leq \lambda \right\}.$$

The filtration

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, put

$$CM_*^\lambda(f) = \left\{ \sum_i n_i p_i \in CM_*(f) \mid n_i \neq 0 \Rightarrow f(p_i) \leq \lambda \right\}.$$

Since f decreases along its negative gradient flowlines, the boundary operator restricts as

$$\partial: CM_*^\lambda(f) \rightarrow CM_*^\lambda(f).$$

Obviously the resulting homologies $HM_*^\lambda(f)$ will depend on f (unlike $HM_*(f)$); indeed

$$HM_*^\lambda(f) = H_*(\{f \leq \lambda\}).$$

Spectral numbers

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_f: H_*(M) = HM_*(f) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\} \\ a &\mapsto \inf\{\lambda \mid a \in \text{Im}(HM_*^\lambda(f) \rightarrow HM_*(f))\} \\ &= \inf\{\max_{i:n_i \neq 0} f(p_i) \mid [\sum_i n_i p_i] = a\}. \end{aligned}$$

Spectral numbers

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_f: H_*(M) = HM_*(f) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\} \\ a &\mapsto \inf\{\lambda \mid a \in \text{Im}(HM_*^\lambda(f) \rightarrow HM_*(f))\} \\ &= \inf\{\max_{i:n_i \neq 0} f(p_i) \mid [\sum_i n_i p_i] = a\}. \end{aligned}$$

Obvious properties of ρ_f

- 1 If $a \neq 0$ then $\rho_f(a) > -\infty$ (indeed $\rho_f(a) \geq \min f$)

Obvious properties of ρ_f

- 1 If $a \neq 0$ then $\rho_f(a) > -\infty$ (indeed $\rho_f(a) \geq \min f$)
- 2 If $a \neq 0$ then $\rho_f(a)$ is a critical value of f .

Obvious properties of ρ_f

- 1 If $a \neq 0$ then $\rho_f(a) > -\infty$ (indeed $\rho_f(a) \geq \min f$)
- 2 If $a \neq 0$ then $\rho_f(a)$ is a critical value of f .

The main result of this talk is that these properties still hold in some analagous theories in which they aren't so obvious.

Now let $\theta \in \Omega^1(M)$ be a closed one-form on the closed manifold M , such that θ vanishes transversely and hence, near any of its zeros p , is given locally by the derivative of a Morse function.

Now let $\theta \in \Omega^1(M)$ be a closed one-form on the closed manifold M , such that θ vanishes transversely and hence, near any of its zeros p , is given locally by the derivative of a Morse function.

This gives θ a well-defined Morse index at p , and we might try to imitate the construction of the Morse complex by counting integral curves for the vector field metrically dual to $-\theta$ which connect zeros with Morse index differing by 1.

Now let $\theta \in \Omega^1(M)$ be a closed one-form on the closed manifold M , such that θ vanishes transversely and hence, near any of its zeros p , is given locally by the derivative of a Morse function.

This gives θ a well-defined Morse index at p , and we might try to imitate the construction of the Morse complex by counting integral curves for the vector field metrically dual to $-\theta$ which connect zeros with Morse index differing by 1.

But this fails, since there will sometimes be infinitely many such.

Where $\theta \in \Omega^1(M)$ is closed, integration around loops gives a homomorphism

$$I_\theta: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Where $\theta \in \Omega^1(M)$ is closed, integration around loops gives a homomorphism

$$I_\theta: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Consider the cover

$$\pi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$$

associated to

$$\ker I_\theta \leq \pi_1(M).$$

Where $\theta \in \Omega^1(M)$ is closed, integration around loops gives a homomorphism

$$I_\theta: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Consider the cover

$$\pi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$$

associated to

$$\ker I_\theta \leq \pi_1(M).$$

This has deck transformation group

$$\Gamma_\theta = \pi_1(M) / \ker I_\theta,$$

and we have, for some

$$\mathcal{A}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

$$\pi^*\theta = d\mathcal{A}.$$

We now try to do Morse homology for \mathcal{A} on the (noncompact!) manifold \tilde{M} , using a metric pulled back from M .

We now try to do Morse homology for \mathcal{A} on the (noncompact!) manifold \tilde{M} , using a metric pulled back from M .

Suppose $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ is a negative gradient flow line for \mathcal{A} from p to q .
Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}(p) - \mathcal{A}(q) &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dt}(\mathcal{A}(\gamma(t))) dt \\ &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \nabla \mathcal{A}(\gamma(t)), \gamma'(t) \rangle dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\gamma'(t)|^2 dt.\end{aligned}$$

Thus a bound on the change in \mathcal{A} along a gradient flowline also bounds its $W^{1,2}$ norm, hence (on any $[-T, T]$) its $C^{1/2}$ norm, and hence, by bootstrapping, each of its C^k norms.

Thus a bound on the change in \mathcal{A} along a gradient flowline also bounds its $W^{1,2}$ norm, hence (on any $[-T, T]$) its $C^{1/2}$ norm, and hence, by bootstrapping, each of its C^k norms.

As a result, writing

$$\partial p = \sum_q n(p, q)q$$

as before, even though the right hand side isn't a finite sum it does belong to the *Novikov chain complex*

$$CN_*(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ \sum_{q \in \text{Crit}(\mathcal{A})} a_q q \mid (\forall C \in \mathbb{R})(\#\{q \mid a_q \neq 0, \mathcal{A}(q) > C\} < \infty) \right\}.$$

$$CN_*(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ \sum_{q \in \text{Crit}(\mathcal{A})} a_q q \mid (\forall C \in \mathbb{R}) (\#\{q \mid a_q \neq 0, \mathcal{A}(q) > C\} < \infty) \right\}$$

$$CN_*(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ \sum_{q \in \text{Crit}(\mathcal{A})} a_q q \mid (\forall C \in \mathbb{R})(\#\{q \mid a_q \neq 0, \mathcal{A}(q) > C\} < \infty) \right\}$$

The deck transformation group Γ acts on $\text{Crit}(\mathcal{A})$, with

$$\mathcal{A}(gq) - \mathcal{A}(p) = \langle \theta, g \rangle.$$

Define the *Novikov ring* as

$$\Lambda_{\Gamma, \theta} = \left\{ \sum_{g \in \Gamma} a_g g \mid (\forall C \in \mathbb{R})(\#\{g \mid a_g \neq 0, \langle \theta, g \rangle > C\} < \infty) \right\}.$$

$$CN_*(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ \sum_{q \in \text{Crit}(\mathcal{A})} a_q q \mid (\forall C \in \mathbb{R})(\#\{q \mid a_q \neq 0, \mathcal{A}(q) > C\} < \infty) \right\}$$

The deck transformation group Γ acts on $\text{Crit}(\mathcal{A})$, with

$$\mathcal{A}(gq) - \mathcal{A}(p) = \langle \theta, g \rangle.$$

Define the *Novikov ring* as

$$\Lambda_{\Gamma, \theta} = \left\{ \sum_{g \in \Gamma} a_g g \mid (\forall C \in \mathbb{R})(\#\{g \mid a_g \neq 0, \langle \theta, g \rangle > C\} < \infty) \right\}.$$

This makes $CN_*(\mathcal{A})$ into a module over $\Lambda_{\Gamma, \theta}$.

$$CN_*(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ \sum_{q \in \text{Crit}(\mathcal{A})} a_q q \mid (\forall C \in \mathbb{R})(\#\{q \mid a_q \neq 0, \mathcal{A}(q) > C\} < \infty) \right\}$$

The deck transformation group Γ acts on $\text{Crit}(\mathcal{A})$, with

$$\mathcal{A}(gq) - \mathcal{A}(p) = \langle \theta, g \rangle.$$

Define the *Novikov ring* as

$$\Lambda_{\Gamma, \theta} = \left\{ \sum_{g \in \Gamma} a_g g \mid (\forall C \in \mathbb{R})(\#\{g \mid a_g \neq 0, \langle \theta, g \rangle > C\} < \infty) \right\}.$$

This makes $CN_*(\mathcal{A})$ into a module over $\Lambda_{\Gamma, \theta}$.

The boundary operator ∂ is a $\Lambda_{\Gamma, \theta}$ -homomorphism, satisfying $\partial^2 = 0$.

Write k for the ring in which the coefficients a_g live.

Write k for the ring in which the coefficients a_g live.

Theorem (Latour, Pazhitnov)

There is a canonical isomorphism

$$HN_*(\mathcal{A}) \cong H_*(C_*(\tilde{M}) \otimes_{k[\Gamma]} \Lambda_{\Gamma, \theta}).$$

Just as before, the subcomplex

$$CN_*^\lambda(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ \sum a_p p \mid a_p \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}(p) \leq \lambda \right\}$$

is preserved by ∂ , giving groups

$$HN_*^\lambda(\mathcal{A})$$

(which, unlike $HN_*(\mathcal{A})$, depend on \mathcal{A}) and spectral numbers

$$\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = \inf \{ \lambda \mid a \in \text{Im}(HN_*^\lambda(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow HN_*(\mathcal{A})) \}.$$

Just as before, the subcomplex

$$CN_*^\lambda(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ \sum a_p p \mid a_p \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}(p) \leq \lambda \right\}$$

is preserved by ∂ , giving groups

$$HN_*^\lambda(\mathcal{A})$$

(which, unlike $HN_*(\mathcal{A})$, depend on \mathcal{A}) and spectral numbers

$$\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = \inf \{ \lambda \mid a \in \text{Im}(HN_*^\lambda(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow HN_*(\mathcal{A})) \}.$$

Since the critical values of \mathcal{A} will (at least for most $[\theta] \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$) form a dense subset of \mathbb{R} , the properties of ρ_f are much subtler than in the Morse homology case.

Theorem (U.)

Let \mathcal{A} be as earlier, and $a \in HN_*(\mathcal{A})$. Then

- 1 If $a \neq 0$ then $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(a) > -\infty$.

Theorem (U.)

Let \mathcal{A} be as earlier, and $a \in HN_*(\mathcal{A})$. Then

- ① If $a \neq 0$ then $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(a) > -\infty$.
- ② There is

$$c = \sum_p c_p p \in CN_*(\mathcal{A})$$

such that

$$[c] = a \text{ and } \rho_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = \max_{p: c_p \neq 0} \mathcal{A}(p).$$

Theorem (U.)

Let \mathcal{A} be as earlier, and $a \in HN_*(\mathcal{A})$. Then

- ① If $a \neq 0$ then $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(a) > -\infty$.
- ② There is

$$c = \sum_p c_p p \in CN_*(\mathcal{A})$$

such that

$$[c] = a \text{ and } \rho_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = \max_{p: c_p \neq 0} \mathcal{A}(p).$$

In particular, for $a \neq 0$ $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$ is a critical value of \mathcal{A} .

Theorem (U.)

Let \mathcal{A} be as earlier, and $a \in HN_*(\mathcal{A})$. Then

- ① If $a \neq 0$ then $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(a) > -\infty$.
- ② There is

$$c = \sum_p c_p p \in CN_*(\mathcal{A})$$

such that

$$[c] = a \text{ and } \rho_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = \max_{p: c_p \neq 0} \mathcal{A}(p).$$

In particular, for $a \neq 0$ $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$ is a critical value of \mathcal{A} .

Significantly, the proof depends only on some formal algebraic properties of the Novikov complex, and as such it applies equally well to various Floer homology theories, where M is replaced by a Banach manifold and the “gradient flow” is ill-defined.

Hamiltonian flows

Let (M, ω) be a closed symplectic manifold, and

$$H : M \times (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

a smooth function.

Hamiltonian flows

Let (M, ω) be a closed symplectic manifold, and

$$H : M \times (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

a smooth function.

Let X_H be the (t -dependent) vector field defined by

$$d(H(t, \cdot)) = \iota_{X_H} \omega.$$

Hamiltonian flows

Let (M, ω) be a closed symplectic manifold, and

$$H : M \times (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

a smooth function.

Let X_H be the (t -dependent) vector field defined by

$$d(H(t, \cdot)) = \iota_{X_H} \omega.$$

Integrating this vector field gives a *Hamiltonian isotopy*

$$\phi_H^t : M \rightarrow M \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}),$$

with each ϕ_H^t a symplectomorphism.

Let $\mathcal{L}_0 M$ be the space of contractible loops in M . Define a 1-form $\mathfrak{a}_H \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{L}_0 M)$ by

$$(\mathfrak{a}_H)_\gamma(\xi) = \int_0^1 (\omega(\gamma'(t), \xi(t)) - d(H(t, \cdot))(\xi(t))) dt.$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_0 M$ be the space of contractible loops in M . Define a 1-form $\alpha_H \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{L}_0 M)$ by

$$(\alpha_H)_\gamma(\xi) = \int_0^1 (\omega(\gamma'(t), \xi(t)) - d(H(t, \cdot))(\xi(t))) dt.$$

The zeros of α_H are precisely those loops γ such that $\gamma'(t) = X_H(t, \gamma(t))$, and thus correspond to the *contractible 1-periodic orbits* of the Hamiltonian flow.

Let \mathcal{L}_0M be the space of contractible loops in M . Define a 1-form $\alpha_H \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{L}_0M)$ by

$$(\alpha_H)_\gamma(\xi) = \int_0^1 (\omega(\gamma'(t), \xi(t)) - d(H(t, \cdot))(\xi(t))) dt.$$

The zeros of α_H are precisely those loops γ such that $\gamma'(t) = X_H(t, \gamma(t))$, and thus correspond to the *contractible 1-periodic orbits* of the Hamiltonian flow.

Hamiltonian Floer homology is an analogue of Novikov homology for the 1-form α_H on \mathcal{L}_0M .

As in Novikov homology, we pull back α_H to a cover of $\mathcal{L}_0 M$ so that it becomes exact; the conventional cover to use is

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}_0 M} = \frac{\{(\gamma, w) \in \mathcal{L}_0 M \times \text{Map}(D^2, M) \mid w|_{\partial D^2} = \gamma\}}{(\gamma, w) \sim (\gamma', w') \text{ if } \gamma = \gamma', \int_{D^2} w^* \omega = \int_{D^2} w'^* \omega, \langle c_1(M), [w' \# \bar{w}] \rangle = 0}.$$

A primitive for the pullback of α_H is then

$$\mathcal{A}_H([\gamma, w]) = - \int_{D^2} w^* \omega - \int_0^1 H(t, \gamma(t)) dt,$$

and the cover has deck transformation group

$$\Gamma = \frac{\pi_2(M)}{\ker(\langle c_1, \cdot \rangle) \cap \ker(\langle [\omega], \cdot \rangle)}.$$

As in Novikov homology, we pull back α_H to a cover of $\mathcal{L}_0 M$ so that it becomes exact; the conventional cover to use is

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}_0 M} = \frac{\{(\gamma, w) \in \mathcal{L}_0 M \times \text{Map}(D^2, M) \mid w|_{\partial D^2} = \gamma\}}{(\gamma, w) \sim (\gamma', w') \text{ if } \gamma = \gamma', \int_{D^2} w^* \omega = \int_{D^2} w'^* \omega, \langle c_1(M), [w' \# \bar{w}] \rangle = 0}.$$

A primitive for the pullback of α_H is then

$$\mathcal{A}_H([\gamma, w]) = - \int_{D^2} w^* \omega - \int_0^1 H(t, \gamma(t)) dt,$$

and the cover has deck transformation group

$$\Gamma = \frac{\pi_2(M)}{\ker(\langle c_1, \cdot \rangle) \cap \ker(\langle [\omega], \cdot \rangle)}.$$

The “Morse” condition for \mathcal{A}_H amounts to the requirement that the graph of $\phi_H^1 : M \rightarrow M$ be transverse to the diagonal in $M \times M$.

Formally, the “negative gradient flowlines” of \mathcal{A}_H are solutions

$$u: \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \rightarrow M$$

to the perturbed Cauchy–Riemann equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial s} + J(t, u(s, t)) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - X_H(t, u(s, t)) \right) = 0.$$

Formally, the “negative gradient flowlines” of \mathcal{A}_H are solutions

$$u: \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \rightarrow M$$

to the perturbed Cauchy–Riemann equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial s} + J(t, u(s, t)) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - X_H(t, u(s, t)) \right) = 0.$$

Theorem (Floer, Hofer-Salamon, Liu-Tian, Fukaya-Ono)

The “Novikov chain complex” for \mathcal{A}_H may be defined on any symplectic manifold, and the resulting homology $HF_(H)$ satisfies*

$$HF_*(H) \cong H_*(M) \otimes \Lambda_\Gamma,$$

canonically.

Just as in Morse or Novikov homology, we have filtered groups $HF_*^\lambda(H)$, so we define

$$\rho_H: H_*(M) \otimes \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$$

by

$$\rho_H(a) = \inf\{\lambda | a \in \text{Im}(HF_*^\lambda(H) \rightarrow HF_*(H) \cong H_*(M) \otimes \Lambda)\}.$$

Just as in Morse or Novikov homology, we have filtered groups $HF_*^\lambda(H)$, so we define

$$\rho_H: H_*(M) \otimes \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$$

by

$$\rho_H(a) = \inf\{\lambda | a \in \text{Im}(HF_*^\lambda(H) \rightarrow HF_*(H) \cong H_*(M) \otimes \Lambda)\}.$$

Theorem (Oh, Schwarz)

- 1 If $a \neq 0$ then $\rho_H(a) > -\infty$
- 2 $\rho_H(a)$ is a continuous function of H , with respect to the norm

$$\|H\| = \int_0^1 (\max_x H(x, t) - \min_x H(x, t)) dt.$$

Theorem (U. in general, Oh in strongly semipositive case)

There is $c = \sum c_{[\gamma, w]}[\gamma, w] \in CF_*(H)$ such that $[c] = a$ and

$$\rho_H(a) = \max_{c_{[\gamma, w]} \neq 0} \mathcal{A}_H([\gamma, w]).$$

In particular $\rho_H(a)$ belongs to the (countable) set of critical values of \mathcal{A}_H .

Theorem (U. in general, Oh in strongly semipositive case)

There is $c = \sum c_{[\gamma, w]}[\gamma, w] \in CF_*(H)$ such that $[c] = a$ and

$$\rho_H(a) = \max_{c_{[\gamma, w]} \neq 0} \mathcal{A}_H([\gamma, w]).$$

In particular $\rho_H(a)$ belongs to the (countable) set of critical values of \mathcal{A}_H .

One reason why this is useful is that it implies that if $\{H_s\}_{s \in [0,1]}$ is a path of Hamiltonians whose sets of critical values are the same (for instance, if each $\int H_s(t, \cdot) \omega^n = 0$ and the time-1 maps $\phi_{H_s}^1$ are equal), then $\rho_{H_s}(a)$ is constant in s .

Theorem (U. in general, Oh in strongly semipositive case)

There is $c = \sum c_{[\gamma, w]}[\gamma, w] \in CF_*(H)$ such that $[c] = a$ and

$$\rho_H(a) = \max_{c_{[\gamma, w]} \neq 0} \mathcal{A}_H([\gamma, w]).$$

In particular $\rho_H(a)$ belongs to the (countable) set of critical values of \mathcal{A}_H .

One reason why this is useful is that it implies that if $\{H_s\}_{s \in [0,1]}$ is a path of Hamiltonians whose sets of critical values are the same (for instance, if each $\int H_s(t, \cdot) \omega^n = 0$ and the time-1 maps $\phi_{H_s}^1$ are equal), then $\rho_{H_s}(a)$ is constant in s .

Oh showed that this allows one to use ρ to define a “spectral norm” on $\widetilde{Ham}(M, \omega)$ which is related to Hofer’s norm.

An application

Work of Entov-Polterovich shows that (given the spectrality property), the function

$$\zeta: C(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$F \mapsto \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho_{kF}([M])}{k}$$

defines a “partial symplectic quasi-state” on M . (In particular, $\zeta(\lambda F) = \lambda \zeta(F)$ and if $\{F, G\} = 0$ with G supported in a Hamiltonianly displaceable subset, then $\zeta(F + G) = \zeta(F)$).

An application

Work of Entov-Polterovich shows that (given the spectrality property), the function

$$\zeta: C(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$F \mapsto \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho_{kF}([M])}{k}$$

defines a “partial symplectic quasi-state” on M . (In particular, $\zeta(\lambda F) = \lambda \zeta(F)$ and if $\{F, G\} = 0$ with G supported in a Hamiltonianly displaceable subset, then $\zeta(F + G) = \zeta(F)$). This implies

Theorem (Entov-Polterovich+ ϵ)

Let (M, ω) be any closed symplectic manifold, and let $F_1, \dots, F_m : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\{F_i, F_j\} = 0$. Define $F = (F_1, \dots, F_m) : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$. Then, for some $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the set $F^{-1}(\vec{x})$ cannot be disjointed from itself by any Hamiltonian isotopy.

The set of critical points is acted on by a finitely generated abelian deck transformation group Γ , and there is a “period homomorphism” $\eta: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, for critical points p ,

$$\mathcal{A}_H(gp) = \mathcal{A}_H(p) + \eta(g).$$

The set of critical points is acted on by a finitely generated abelian deck transformation group Γ , and there is a “period homomorphism” $\eta: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, for critical points p ,

$$\mathcal{A}_H(gp) = \mathcal{A}_H(p) + \eta(g).$$

Where

$$G = \text{Im } \eta,$$

the Novikov ring over which the chain complex is defined can be identified with

$$\Lambda(k[\ker \eta]; G) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i T^{g_i} \mid a_i \in k[\ker \eta], g_i \in G, g_i \rightarrow -\infty \right\}.$$

This carries a natural valuation

$$\nu : \Lambda(k[\ker \eta]; G) \rightarrow G \quad \sum_j a_j T^{g_j} \mapsto \max_{i: a_i \neq 0} g_i.$$

Suppose that there are n contractible periodic orbits γ_i ; then

$$CF_*(H) \cong (\Lambda(k[\ker \eta]; G))^n. \quad (1)$$

For simplicity assume that each of these has a lift $[\gamma_i, w_i]$ with $\mathcal{A}_H([\gamma_i, w_i]) = 0$. Then, with respect to (1),

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in CF_*^\lambda(H) \Leftrightarrow \bar{\nu}(\vec{x}) := \max_i \nu(x_i) \leq \lambda.$$

Hence

$$\rho_H([\vec{x}]) = \inf \{ \bar{\nu}(\vec{x} - \partial \vec{w}) \mid \vec{w} \in CF_*(H) \}.$$

The theorem then amounts to the statement that this infimum is attained.

In fact:

Theorem (U.)

If R is Noetherian, $U \leq \Lambda(R; G)^n$ is a submodule, and $\vec{x} \in (\Lambda(R; G))^n$, then there is $\vec{u} \in U$ such that

$$\bar{\nu}(\vec{x} - \vec{u}) = \inf\{\bar{\nu}(\vec{x} - \vec{w}) \mid \vec{w} \in U\}.$$

(In our case, $R = k[\ker \eta]$ is Noetherian by the Hilbert basis theorem, and for the submodule U we use the image of the Floer boundary operator.)

More geometrically, on $\Lambda(R; G)^n$ we have a non-Archimedean metric given by $d(\vec{v}, \vec{w}) = e^{\bar{\nu}(\vec{v}-\vec{w})}$, and the theorem is that given a submodule U and a point $\vec{x} \in \Lambda(R; G)^n$, \vec{x} has a best approximation in U with respect to this metric.

More geometrically, on $\Lambda(R; G)^n$ we have a non-Archimedean metric given by $d(\vec{v}, \vec{w}) = e^{\bar{\nu}(\vec{v}-\vec{w})}$, and the theorem is that given a submodule U and a point $\vec{x} \in \Lambda(R; G)^n$, \vec{x} has a best approximation in U with respect to this metric.

The proof proceeds as follows: pick a finite set $\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_m \in U$ with $\bar{\nu}(\vec{u}_i) = 0$ such that, where $\tilde{U} \leq R^n$ consists of the “leading-order terms” of elements of U , \tilde{U} is spanned by the leading-order terms of the \vec{u}_i (these exist since R is Noetherian).

More geometrically, on $\Lambda(R; G)^n$ we have a non-Archimedean metric given by $d(\vec{v}, \vec{w}) = e^{\bar{v}(\vec{v}-\vec{w})}$, and the theorem is that given a submodule U and a point $\vec{x} \in \Lambda(R; G)^n$, \vec{x} has a best approximation in U with respect to this metric.

The proof proceeds as follows: pick a finite set $\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_m \in U$ with $\bar{v}(\vec{u}_i) = 0$ such that, where $\tilde{U} \leq R^n$ consists of the “leading-order terms” of elements of U , \tilde{U} is spanned by the leading-order terms of the \vec{u}_i (these exist since R is Noetherian).

Starting with the given element \vec{x} , one then inductively produces a sequence $\vec{x}^{(n)} = \vec{x} - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^{(n)} \vec{u}_i$, with $\bar{v}(\vec{x}^{(n)})$ strictly decreasing and always contained in a particular *discrete* subset of $G \leq \mathbb{R}$.

More geometrically, on $\Lambda(R; G)^n$ we have a non-Archimedean metric given by $d(\vec{v}, \vec{w}) = e^{\bar{v}(\vec{v}-\vec{w})}$, and the theorem is that given a submodule U and a point $\vec{x} \in \Lambda(R; G)^n$, \vec{x} has a best approximation in U with respect to this metric.

The proof proceeds as follows: pick a finite set $\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_m \in U$ with $\bar{v}(\vec{u}_i) = 0$ such that, where $\tilde{U} \leq R^n$ consists of the “leading-order terms” of elements of U , \tilde{U} is spanned by the leading-order terms of the \vec{u}_i (these exist since R is Noetherian).

Starting with the given element \vec{x} , one then inductively produces a sequence $\vec{x}^{(n)} = \vec{x} - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^{(n)} \vec{u}_i$, with $\bar{v}(\vec{x}^{(n)})$ strictly decreasing and always contained in a particular *discrete* subset of $G \leq \mathbb{R}$.

Either the process terminates at some finite n , in which case it does so at a minimizer; or else the $\bar{v}(\vec{x}^{(n)})$ diverge to $-\infty$, in which case we get

$$\vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^\infty \vec{u}_i \in U,$$

(so \vec{x} is itself a minimizer).