

A Proof of the Existence of Infinite Product Probability Measures

Author(s): Sadahiro Saeki

Source: The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 103, No. 8, (Oct., 1996), pp. 682-683

Published by: Mathematical Association of America

Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2974880

Accessed: 23/05/2008 14:09

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <a href="http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp">http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp</a>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=maa.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit organization founded in 1995 to build trusted digital archives for scholarship. We enable the scholarly community to preserve their work and the materials they rely upon, and to build a common research platform that promotes the discovery and use of these resources. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

# A Proof of the Existence of Infinite Product Probability Measures

#### Sadahiro Saeki

### In memory of my dear friend Karl Stromberg

Let  $\{(\Omega_i, \mathscr{F}_i, P_i): i \in I\}$  be a nonempty collection of probability spaces, and let  $\Omega \coloneqq \Pi_i \Omega_i$  be the product space. A measurable cylinder in  $\Omega$  is a subset A of  $\Omega$  of the form  $A = \Pi_i A_i$ , where  $A_i \in \mathscr{F}_i$  for each i and  $A_i = \Omega_i$  for all but finitely many i's. For such a set A, define  $P(A) \coloneqq \Pi_i P_i(A_i)$ . By definition, the product probability measure of the  $P_i$ 's is the (necessarily unique) extension of P to a probability measure on  $\mathscr{F}(\mathscr{M}c)$ , where  $\mathscr{M}c$  is the collection of all measurable cylinders in  $\Omega$  and  $\mathscr{F}(\mathscr{M}c)$  is the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $\mathscr{M}c$ . The standard proof of the existence of the product probability measure is based upon Fubini's Theorem for finitely many factors; see [HS: pp. 429-435]. We give a simple proof that does not require Fubini's Theorem.

**Lemma.** Let  $\mu: \mathcal{M}c \to [0,1]$  be a function such that  $\sum_{1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) = 1$  whenever  $(A_n)$  is a disjoint sequence in  $\mathcal{M}c$  with union  $\Omega$ . Then  $\mu$  extends uniquely to a probability measure on  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}c)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\mathscr{D}$  be the collection of all finite unions of measurable cylinders. It is easy to check that  $\mathscr{D}$  is a field and each  $A \in \mathscr{D}$  can be written as a finite disjoint union of members of  $\mathscr{M}c$ . In particular, A can be written as a countable disjoint union of members of  $\mathscr{M}c$ , say  $A = \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} A_{n}$ . Let  $\mu'(A) := \sum_{1}^{\infty} \mu(A_{n})$ . To see that  $\mu'$  is well-defined, write  $\Omega \setminus A = \bigcup_{1}^{m} B_{k}$  with pairwise disjoint  $B_{k} \in \mathscr{M}c$ . Then

$$\sum_{1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) = 1 - \sum_{1}^{m} \mu(B_k)$$
 (1)

by our assumption on  $\mu$ . Since the right-hand of (1) has nothing to do with the decomposition  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  of A, it follows that  $\mu'$  is well-defined and therefore countably additive of  $\mathscr{D}$ . Hence the desired result is an immediate consequence of E. Hopf's extension theorem [HS: p. 142].

**Theorem.** P extends uniquely to a probability measure on  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}c)$ .

**Proof:** It suffices to prove that P satisfies the hypothesis of the lemma. Without loss of generality, assume that I is an infinite set. Let  $(A_n)$  be a disjoint sequence in  $\mathcal{M}c$  with union  $\Omega$ .

Case 1: I is countable. Then we may assume  $I = \mathbb{N}$ . Write  $A_n = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{n,i}$ , where  $A_{n,i} \in \mathcal{F}_i$  for each i and  $A_{n,i} = \Omega_i$  for all  $i > i_n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We claim that if  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x = (x_i)$  is an element of  $A_m$  and if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$\left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{i_m} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(x_i) \right\rangle \prod_{i>i_m} P_i(A_{n,i}) = \delta_{m,n} \quad \text{(Kronecker's delta)}. \tag{2}$$

For n=m, this is trivial, so assume  $n\neq m$ . Then, since  $\sum_{1}^{\infty}\chi_{A_k}=1$  identically and  $\chi_{A_m}(x_1,\ldots,x_{i_m},y_{i_m+1},\ldots)=1$  for all  $y_i\in\Omega_i$  with  $i>i_m$ , we have

$$\left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{i_m} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(x_i) \right\} \prod_{i>i_m} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(y_i) = 0$$
 (3)

for all such  $y_i$ 's. Integrating each side of (3) finitely many times, we obtain (2) for  $n \neq m$ .

To get a contradiction, suppose  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) \neq 1$ . Then there must exist an  $x_1 \in \Omega_1$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{A_{n,1}}(x_1) \prod_{i=2}^{\infty} P_i(A_{n,i}) \neq 1.$$

Hence an inductive argument yields an element  $x = (x_i)$  of  $\Omega$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{k} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(x_i) \right\} \prod_{i=k+1}^{\infty} P_i(A_{n,i}) \neq 1$$
 (4)

for each  $k \ge 1$ . But  $x \in A_m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence (4) with  $k = i_m$  contradicts (2).

Case 2: I is uncountable. Then we can choose a countable subset J of I such that  $A_n = A'_n \times \Omega'$  for all  $n \ge 1$ , where each  $A'_n$  is a measurable cylinder in  $\prod_{i \in J} \Omega_i$  and  $\Omega' = \prod_{i \notin J} \Omega_i$ . By Case 1 applied to  $(A'_n)$ , we obtain  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = 1$ .

**Dedication.** Professor Karl Stromberg, my friend and colleague, died on July 3, 1994. He was an enthusiastic lover of the Monthly. When I presented the above proof in my seminar five to eight years ago, he liked it very much. Karl, I dedicate the present paper to you in the memory of our friendship. Have a peaceful sleep!

#### REFERENCE

[HS] E. Hewitt and K. Stromberg, Real and Abstract Analysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1965.

Department of Mathematics Kansas State University 138 Cardwell Hall Manhattan, KS 66506

## A Problem

#### Leo S. Gurin

**TRIBUTE.** I learned about this problem and its solution in 1935, when I was in the eighth grade, from my teacher of mathematics, Yakov Stepanovich Chaikovsky, a very young man at that time. Now, in retrospective of a few decades of my own