

CASSELS' LEMMA

PETE L. CLARK

Theorem 1. (*Cassels' Lemma*) *Let K be a complete local field with finite residue field \mathbb{F}_q . Let $\Psi : A \rightarrow A'$ be an isogeny of abelian varieties defined over K . We assume that A (hence also A') has good reduction and that $\deg \Psi$ is coprime to q . Then the image \mathcal{K} of the Kummer map*

$$A'(K)/\Psi(A(K)) \rightarrow H^1(K, A[\Psi])$$

is equal to the subgroup $H^1(K^{\text{unr}}/K, A[\Psi])$ of $H^1(K, A[\Psi])$ consisting of classes killed by restriction to the maximal unramified extension K^{unr} of K .

First proof: We have a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A'(K)/\Psi(A(K)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H^1(K, A[\Psi]) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & H^1(K, A[\Psi]) \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 & \rightarrow & A'(K^{\text{unr}})/\Psi(A(K^{\text{unr}})) & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & H^1(K^{\text{unr}}, A[\Psi]) & \xrightarrow{\beta'} & H^1(K^{\text{unr}}, A[\Psi]) \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Step 1: Let $\xi \in H^1(K, A[\Psi])$ be a class which is in the image of the Kummer map; equivalently, $\beta(\xi) = 0$. We will show that $\text{res } \xi = 0$. Because of the commutativity of the diagram, we have $\beta' \text{res } \xi = \text{res } \beta\xi = \text{res } 0 = 0$. We claim that β' is injective, which suffices. Indeed, we will show:

Lemma 2. *With hypotheses above, we have*

$$A'(K^{\text{unr}})/\Psi(A(K^{\text{unr}})) = 0.$$

Proof. Since $A[\Psi] \subset A[\deg \Psi]$, it suffices to show the result for $\Psi = [n]$ on A with n a positive integer coprime to the residue characteristic, p . Let \tilde{A} be the (good!) reduction of A modulo the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}_K . We consider the base change to K^{unr} : reduction gives a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A^0(K^{\text{unr}}) \rightarrow A(K^{\text{unr}}) \rightarrow \tilde{A}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) \rightarrow 0.$$

Certainly $\tilde{A}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$, being the group of points of a connected group scheme over an algebraically closed field, is divisible. On the other hand, the theory of formal groups gives an isomorphism

$$A^0(K^{\text{unr}}) \cong (\mathcal{O}_{K^{\text{unr}}}, +) \bigoplus T_p,$$

where T_p is a p -primary torsion abelian group. Since n is a unit in the ring $\mathcal{O}_{K^{\text{unr}}}$, its additive group is n -divisible. Therefore $A(K^{\text{unr}})$, being an extension of n -divisible abelian groups, is itself n -divisible. \square

Step 2: So far we know that \mathcal{K} , the image of the Kummer map, is a subgroup of $H^1(K^{\text{unr}}/K, A[\Psi])$. It is easy to see that the latter is a finite abelian group – indeed, the set of continuous cocycles from the topologically cyclic group $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{unr}}/K)$ to the finite abelian group $A[\Psi]$ is finite. Hence \mathcal{K} is also finite, and if $H \subset G$ are

finite abelian groups, to show that $H = G$ it suffices to show that $\#H = \#G$.

We recall the following result of basic Galois cohomology of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}} = \text{Gal}(K^{\text{unr}}/K)$.

If M is a finite $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ -module, then

$$H^1(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, M) \cong M/(F-1)M,$$

where F is the Frobenius map, the distinguished topological generator of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$. Reduction induces an isomorphism on the n -torsion, so $A[\psi](\bar{K}) = A[\psi](K^{\text{unr}})$; we abbreviate this as $A[\psi]$ and write $A[\psi](K)$ for the subgroup of K -rational points, which is precisely the kernel of $F-1$. So we have

$$H^1(K^{\text{unr}}/K, A[\Psi]) \cong A[\psi]/(F-1)A[\Psi].$$

Next a tiny piece of pure algebra: let M be a finite abelian group and φ an endomorphism of M . Then contemplation of the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \varphi \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \rightarrow \text{coker } \varphi \rightarrow 0$$

gives $\#\ker \varphi = \#\text{coker } \varphi$. Applying this with $M = A[\varphi]$ and φ equal to $F-1$, we get

$$\#H^1(K^{\text{unr}}/K, A[\Psi]) = \#A[\Psi]/(F-1)A[\Psi] = \#\text{coker } \varphi = \#\ker \varphi = \#A[\Psi](K) = \#\tilde{A}[\Psi](\mathbb{F}_q).$$

On the other hand, because Ψ is surjective on the kernel of reduction, we have

$$\#\mathcal{K} = \#A'(K)/\Psi(A(K)) = \#\tilde{A}'(\mathbb{F}_q)/\tilde{\Psi}\tilde{A}(\mathbb{F}_q).$$

Note that since \tilde{A} and \tilde{A}' are \mathbb{F}_q -rationally isogenous, $\#\tilde{A}(\mathbb{F}_q) = \#\tilde{A}'(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Therefore, applying a similar counting argument to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{A}(\mathbb{F}_q)[\tilde{\Psi}] \rightarrow \tilde{A}(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \tilde{A}'(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \#\tilde{A}'(\mathbb{F}_q)/\tilde{\Psi}\tilde{A}(\mathbb{F}_q) = 0,$$

we conclude

$$\#H^1(K^{\text{unr}}/K, A[\Psi]) = \#\tilde{A}(\mathbb{F}_q)[\tilde{\Psi}] = \#\tilde{A}'(\mathbb{F}_q)/\tilde{\Psi}\tilde{A}(\mathbb{F}_q) = \#\mathcal{K},$$

qed.