

On the distribution of lattice points on spheres and level surfaces of polynomials.

Akos Magyar
1

Abstract

The irregularities of distribution of lattice points on spheres and on level surfaces of polynomials are measured in terms of the discrepancy with respect to caps. It is found that the discrepancy depends on diophantine properties of the direction of the cap. If the direction of the cap is diophantine, in case of the spheres, close to optimal upper bounds are found. The estimates are based on a precise description of the Fourier transform of the set of lattice points on polynomial surfaces.

¹Research supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-0202021
AMS Subject Classification: Primary 11K38, Secondary 43A85
Keywords: discrepancy, lattice points, exponential sums

1 Introduction.

The uniformity of the distribution of lattice points on spheres has been extensively studied and proved in dimension at least 4, see [P],[GF], and later in dimension 3 [D] using difficult estimates for the Fourier coefficients of modular forms.

Here we study the discrepancy on spheres, and more generally on level surfaces of certain positive homogeneous polynomials, with respect to caps, which are intersections of the surface with half-spaces.

To describe our results first in case of spheres, let S^{n-1} denote the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n , and for $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ let Z_λ be the set of lattice points of length $\lambda^{1/2}$ projected to the unit sphere: $Z_\lambda = \{\lambda^{-1/2} m : m \in \mathbb{Z}^n, |m|^2 = \lambda\}$. Here $|m| = (m_1^2 + \dots + m_n^2)^{1/2}$ denotes the Euclidean length. Let $N_\lambda = |Z_\lambda|$ be the number of lattice points of length $\lambda^{1/2}$.

For given $0 \leq a < 1$ and a unit vector ξ define the spherical cap $C_{a,\xi} = \{x \in S^{n-1} : x \cdot \xi \geq a\}$, and the corresponding *discrepancy* as the difference between the actual and the expected number of points of Z_λ which lie on the cap $C_{a,\xi}$:

$$D_n(\xi, \lambda) = |Z_\lambda \cap C_{a,\xi}| - N_\lambda \sigma(C_{a,\xi}) \quad (1)$$

where σ denotes the normalized surface area measure on S^{n-1} . Our aim is to prove upper bounds for the discrepancy when the direction of the cap ξ satisfies certain diophantine conditions, which we describe below.

A point $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is called *diophantine* if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $C_\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\|q\alpha\| = \min_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} |q\alpha - m| \geq C_\epsilon q^{-\frac{1}{n-1}-\epsilon} \quad (2)$$

Correspondingly a point $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ is called *diophantine*, if for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ for which $\xi_i \neq 0$, the point $\alpha^i \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is diophantine, where the coordinates of α^i are obtained by dividing each coordinate of ξ by ξ_i and deleting the i -th coordinate. It is not hard to show, see the next section, that the complement of diophantine points has measure 0 in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} and hence in S^{n-1} as well.

Theorem 1. *Let $n \geq 4$ and let $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ be a diophantine point. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, one has*

$$|D_n(\xi, \lambda)| \leq C_{\xi, \varepsilon} \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4} + \varepsilon} \quad (3)$$

We note that for $n \geq 4$, and if $n = 4$ assuming that 4 does not divide λ , one has that $N_\lambda \gtrsim \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$, thus (1.3) implies

$$|D_n(\xi, \lambda)| \leq C_\varepsilon N_\lambda^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2(n-2)} + \varepsilon} \quad (4)$$

On the other hand it is known that for any set of N points on the unit sphere S^{n-1} the L^2 average of the discrepancy is at least: $N^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}}$, see [Be] and [M2]. Thus our estimates are asymptotically sharp as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Also, such estimates are not possible, in high dimensions, without some restrictions on the direction ξ . Indeed, if $\xi = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ then the boundary of the cap $C_{a, \xi}$ can contain as many as $\lambda^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \approx N_\lambda^{1 - \frac{1}{n-2}}$ lattice points for certain values of a . Thus the discrepancy must change by this amount at such values of a .

In low dimension when $n = 4$, the best previous estimate for the normalized discrepancy $D(\xi, \lambda)/N_\lambda$ was given in [GF] of the order of $\lambda^{-1/5+\varepsilon}$ while we get the improvement $\lambda^{-1/4+\varepsilon}$. In case $n = 4$ and $\lambda = 4^k$ there are only 24 lattice points of length $\lambda^{1/2}$, estimates for the discrepancy become trivial in such degenerate cases.

Next we describe similar estimates in case where spheres are replaced by level surfaces of positive homogeneous polynomials. Let $p(m) = p(m_1, \dots, m_n)$ be a positive homogeneous integral polynomial of degree d . Let S_p be the unit level surface of the polynomial p , and let $\sigma_p = c_p \frac{dS_p}{|\nabla p|}$ where dS_p denote the surface-area measure on S_p and ∇p stands for the gradient of p . The constant $c_p > 0$ is chosen to have total measure 1. For $a > 0$ and a unit vector ξ , define the cap $C_{a, \xi} = \{x \in S_p ; a \leq x \cdot \xi\}$ as before.

For a positive integer λ let $Z_{p, \lambda} = \{\lambda^{-\frac{1}{d}}m : m \in \mathbb{Z}^n, p(m) = \lambda\}$, $N_{p, \lambda} = |Z_{p, \lambda}|$, and define the discrepancy by

$$D_p(\xi, \lambda) = |Z_{p, \lambda} \cap C_{a, \xi}| - N_{p, \lambda} \sigma_p(C_{a, \xi}) \quad (5)$$

To ensure that there are enough many solutions of the diophantine equation $p(m) = \lambda$, we assume that $p(z)$ is *non-singular*, that is if $\nabla p(z) \neq 0$ for

$z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $z \neq 0$. Indeed this condition excludes polynomials like $p(z) = z_1^d$ or $p(z) = (z_1^2 + \dots + z_n^2)^{\frac{d}{2}}$. It is implicit in earlier works on the Hardy-Littlewood method of exponential sums and shown in [M1], that if $n > (d-1)2^d$ and p is non-singular, then there is an infinite arithmetic progression Λ , such that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ one has $N_{p,\lambda} \gtrsim \lambda^{\frac{n}{d}-1}$. We'll refer to such a set Λ as a set of *regular values* of p .

Theorem 2. *Let $n > (d-1)2^d$, and let $p(m) : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a non-singular, positive, homogeneous, integral polynomial of degree d . If $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ is a diophantine point, then there is a $\eta > 0$ depending only on the dimension n and the degree d , such that*

$$|D_p(\xi, \lambda)| \leq C_{p,\xi} \lambda^{\frac{n}{d}-1-\eta} \quad (6)$$

If $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is a regular value of p , then (1.6) implies

$$|D_p(\xi, \lambda)| \leq C_{p,\xi} N_{p,\lambda}^{1-\eta}$$

again with a constant $\eta > 0$ depending only on n and d . Let us remark that assuming the stronger condition: $n > (d-1)2^{d+1}$ one can take $\eta = \frac{1}{(d-1)2^d}$ which depends only on the degree d . However we do not pursue such estimates here, as it would require to rework some of the the error estimates in [M1] and would greatly increase the length of the paper.

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\omega_{p,\lambda} : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ be the indicator function of the solution set $p(m) = \lambda$. Then both estimates (1.3) and (1.6) are based on an asymptotic formula for its Fourier transform

$$\hat{\omega}_{p,\lambda}(\xi) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n, p(m)=\lambda} e^{-2\pi i m \cdot \xi} \quad (7)$$

In case spheres, when $p(m) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i^2$, such a formula was derived in [MSW] (see Prop. 4.1) for $n \geq 5$. Here we'll introduce the so-called Kloostermann refinement to include the case $n = 4$ and to obtain a better error term.

Lemma 1. *Let $n \geq 4$. Then one has*

$$\hat{\omega}_\lambda(\xi) = \gamma_n \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \sum_{q \leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} m_{q,\lambda}(\xi) + \mathcal{E}_\lambda(\xi) \quad \text{where} \quad (8)$$

$$|\mathcal{E}_\lambda(\xi)| \leq C_\varepsilon \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4} + \varepsilon} \quad (9)$$

holds uniformly in ξ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover

$$m_{q,\lambda}(\xi) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} K(q, l, \lambda) \psi(q\xi - l) \tilde{\sigma}(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(\xi - l/q)) \quad (10)$$

where

$$K(q, l, \lambda) = q^{-n} \sum_{(a,q)=1} \sum_{s \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^n} e^{2\pi i \frac{a(|s|^2 - \lambda) + s \cdot l}{q}} \quad (11)$$

$\tilde{\sigma}$ denotes the Fourier transform of the measure σ on S^{n-1} , and ψ is a smooth cut-off function supported on $\max_j |\xi_j| \leq 1/4$ and constant 1 on $\max_j |\xi_j| \leq 1/8$. Moreover one has the bounds

$$|\tilde{\sigma}(\xi)| \leq C (1 + |\xi|)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \quad (12)$$

$$|K(q, l, \lambda)| \leq C_\varepsilon q^{-\frac{n-1}{2} + \varepsilon} (\lambda, q_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{r}{2}} \quad (13)$$

where $q = q_1 2^r$ with q_1 odd, and (λ, q_1) denotes the greatest common divisor of λ and q_1 .

We remark that (1.12) is a standard stationary phase estimate, and (1.13) follows from Weil's estimate and the multiplicative properties of Kloostermann sums, see Section 4 below.

The factor $(\lambda, q_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{r}{2}}$ is of size λ^ε on average, in fact one has the estimate

$$\sum_{q \leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} q^\beta (\lambda, q_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{r}{2}} \leq C_{\beta,\varepsilon} \lambda^{\frac{\beta+1}{2} + \varepsilon} \quad (14)$$

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we establish some basic properties of diophantine points. Most of these are known but for the sake of completeness we include their proofs. In Section 3 we prove estimate (1.3) assuming Lemma 1. This will be shown in Section 4, using a general form of the Kloostermann refinement proved in [H-B]. Estimate (1.6) on the upper bound of the discrepancy for polynomial surfaces will be shown in Section 5. The proof is essentially the same as in case of spheres, based on asymptotic formula (0.6) proved in [M1], analogues to (1.8).

2 Some properties of diophantine points

Let us call a points $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of *type* ε if it satisfies (1.2) with a given $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proposition 1. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ the set of points $\alpha \in [0, 1]^{n-1}$ of type ε has measure 1.*

Proof. If a point α is not of "type ε " then there are infinitely many q 's such that: $\|q\xi\| \geq q^{-\frac{1}{n-1}-\varepsilon}$. This means there exists $m \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ such that: $|\xi - m/q| \leq q^{-\frac{n}{n-1}-\varepsilon}$. However the sum of the volume of such neighborhoods around the points $m/q \in [0, 1]^{n-1}$ is bounded by $q^{-1-\varepsilon}$. The set of points which belong to infinitely many of such neighborhoods is therefore has measure 0. \square

This shows that the set of points $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ which are not diophantine has measure 0. Indeed α is diophantine if it is of type $\varepsilon_k = (1/2)^k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and in that case $\alpha + m$ is also diophantine for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Next we show that $\|q\alpha\| \approx 1$ on average if α is diophantine.

Proposition 2. *Let $\alpha \in [0, 1]^{n-1}$ be diophantine, $Q > 1$ and $0 < k < n - 1$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ one has*

$$\sum_{q \leq Q} \|q\alpha\|^{-k} \leq C_\varepsilon Q^{1+\varepsilon} \quad (1)$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Consider the set of points $\{q\alpha\} \in [-1/2, 1/2]^{n-1}$, $1 \leq q \leq Q$, where $\{q\alpha\} = q\alpha - [q\alpha]$ and $[q\alpha]$ denotes the closest lattice points to $q\alpha$. If $q_1 \neq q_2$ then

$$|\{q_1\alpha\} - \{q_2\alpha\}| \geq \|(q_1 - q_2)\alpha\| \geq C_\varepsilon Q^{-\frac{1}{n-1}-\frac{\varepsilon}{n}} \quad (2)$$

Thus the number of points in a dyadic annulus $2^{-j} \leq \|q\alpha\| < 2^{-j+1}$ is bounded by $2^{-(n-1)j} Q^{1+\varepsilon}$ and the sum in (2.1) is convergent for $k < n - 1$. \square

Proposition 3. *Let $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ be diophantine, and assume that $\max_j |\xi_j| = |\xi_n|$. Let $t \geq 1$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$, $\alpha_j = \xi_j/\xi_n$ and $q = [t\xi_n]$. Then one has*

$$\|t\xi\| \geq \frac{1}{n} \|q\alpha\| \quad (3)$$

Proof. Note that

$$t\xi_j = t\xi_n\alpha_j = [t\xi_n]\alpha_j \pm \|t\xi_n\|\alpha_j$$

thus

$$|q\alpha_j - m_j| \leq |t\xi_j - m_j| + \|t\xi_n\|$$

thus taking $m_j = [t\xi_j]$ one has

$$\|q\alpha_j\| \leq \|t\xi_j\| + \|t\xi_n\|$$

and summing for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ gives (1.3). □

Lemma 2. *Suppose $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ is diophantine. For $t \geq 1$ and $T \geq 1$, one has for every $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$\|t\xi\| \geq C_\varepsilon t^{-\frac{1}{n-1}-\varepsilon} \quad (4)$$

$$\int_1^T \|t\xi\|^{-k} \leq C_\varepsilon T^{1+\varepsilon} \quad (5)$$

for $0 < k < n-1$.

Proof. By permuting the coordinates of ξ (which does not affect the property of being diophantine), one can assume that $\max_j |\xi_j| = |\xi_n|$. Inequality (2.4) follows immediately from (2.3) and the definition of a diophantine point. Similarly (2.5) is reduced to (2.1) by observing that for a fixed q , the set of t 's for which $q = [t\xi_n]$ is an interval of length at most $1/\xi_n \leq \sqrt{n}$. □

3 Upper bounds for the discrepancy

If χ_a denote the indicator function of the interval $[a, 1+a]$, then the discrepancy may be written as

$$D_n(\xi, \lambda) = \sum_{|m|^2=\lambda} \chi_a(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} m \cdot \xi) - N_\lambda \int_{S^{n-1}} \chi_a(x \cdot \xi) d\sigma(x) \quad (1)$$

The function χ_a can be replaced with a smooth function $\phi_{a,\delta}$ by making a small error in the discrepancy. Indeed, let $0 \leq \phi(t) \leq 1$ be smooth function supported in $[-1, 1]^n$, such that $\int \phi = 1$. Let $\phi_{a,\delta}^\pm = \chi_{a \pm \delta} * \phi_\delta$, where $\phi_\delta(t) = \delta^{-1} \phi(t \delta^{-1})$ and define the *smoothed* discrepancy as

$$D_n(\phi_{a,\delta}^\pm, \xi, \lambda) = \sum_{|m|^2=\lambda} \phi_{a,\delta}^\pm(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} m \cdot \xi) - N_\lambda \int_{S^{n-1}} \phi_{a,\delta}^\pm(x \cdot \xi) d\sigma(x) \quad (2)$$

Proposition 4. *One has*

$$|D_n(\xi, \lambda)| \leq \max(|D_n(\phi_{a,\delta}^+, \xi, \lambda)|, |D_n(\phi_{a,\delta}^-, \xi, \lambda)|) + C_n \delta N_\lambda \quad (3)$$

Proof. Note that $\phi_{a,\delta}^-(t) \leq \chi_a(t) \leq \phi_{a,\delta}^+(t)$ thus

$$\sum_{|m|^2=\lambda} \phi_{a,\delta}^-(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} m \cdot \xi) \leq \sum_{|m|^2=\lambda} \chi_a(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} m \cdot \xi) \leq \sum_{|m|^2=\lambda} \phi_{a,\delta}^+(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} m \cdot \xi)$$

and

$$N_\lambda \int_{S^{n-1}} \phi_{a,\delta}^+(x \cdot \xi) d\sigma(x) \geq N_\lambda \int_{S^{n-1}} \chi_a(x \cdot \xi) d\sigma(x) \geq N_\lambda \int_{S^{n-1}} \phi_{a,\delta}^-(x \cdot \xi) d\sigma(x)$$

Subtracting the above inequalities, (3.3) follows from

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} (\phi_{a,\delta}^+ - \phi_{a,\delta}^-)(x \cdot \xi) d\sigma(x) \leq C_n \delta$$

□

In what follows, we take $\delta = \lambda^{-n}$ and write $\phi_{a,\delta}$ for $\phi_{a,\delta}^\pm$, as our estimates work the same way for both choices of the sign. By taking the inverse Fourier transform of $\phi_{a,\delta}(t)$ one has

$$\sum_{|m|^2=\lambda} \phi_{a,\delta}(\lambda^{-1/2} m \cdot \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\phi}_{a,\delta}(t \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}) \hat{\omega}_\lambda(t \xi) dt \quad (4)$$

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \phi_{a,\delta}(x \cdot \xi) d\sigma(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\phi}_{a,\delta}(t) \tilde{\sigma}(t \xi) dt \quad (5)$$

We substitute the asymptotic formula (1.8) into (3.4) and study the contribution of each term separately:

$$I_{q,\lambda} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\phi}_{a,\delta}(t\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}) m_{q,\lambda}(t\xi) dt \quad (6)$$

$$E_\lambda = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\phi}_{a,\delta}(t\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}) \mathcal{E}_\lambda(t\xi) dt \quad (7)$$

To estimate the error term in (3.7) note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} |\hat{\phi}_{a,\delta}(t\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}})| dt \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+|t|)^{-1} (1+\delta|t|)^{-1} \leq C \log \lambda$$

Thus by (1.9) one has for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$|E_\lambda| \leq C_\varepsilon \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4}+\varepsilon} \quad (8)$$

Next, decompose the range of integration in (3.6) as

$$I_{q,\lambda} = \int_{|t|<1/8q} + \int_{|t|\geq 1/8q} = I_{q,\lambda}^1 + I_{q,\lambda}^2 \quad (9)$$

A crucial point is that if $|t| < 1/8q$ then $\psi(q\xi - l) = 0$ unless $l = 0$ moreover $\psi(tq\xi) = 1$ since $|tq\xi_j| < 1/8q$ for each j , hence

$$m_{q,\lambda}(t\xi) = K(q, 0, \lambda) \tilde{\sigma}(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} t\xi)$$

Thus by (3.6) and a change of variables: $t := t\lambda^{1/2}$

$$I_{q,\lambda}^1 = K(q, l, \lambda) \int_{|t|<\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}/8q} \hat{\phi}_{a,\delta}(t) \tilde{\sigma}(t\xi) dt \quad (10)$$

Proposition 5. *One has for every $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$|\gamma_n \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \sum_{q \leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} I_{q,\lambda}^1 - N_\lambda \int_{S^{n-1}} \phi_{a,\delta}(x \cdot \xi) d\sigma(x)| \leq C_\varepsilon \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4}+\varepsilon} \quad (11)$$

Proof. Using (1.12), one has

$$\int_{|t| \geq \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}/8q} |\hat{\phi}_{a,\delta}(t) \tilde{\sigma}(t\xi)| dt \leq C_\varepsilon \lambda^{-\frac{n-1}{4}+\varepsilon} q^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \quad (12)$$

Thus by (3.5) and (3.10)

$$|I_{q,\lambda}^1 - K(q, 0, \lambda) \int_{S^{n-1}} \phi_{a,\delta}(x \cdot \xi) d\sigma(x)| \leq C_\varepsilon \lambda^{-\frac{n-1}{4}+\varepsilon} q^{\frac{n-1}{2}} |K(q, 0, \lambda)|$$

Substituting $\xi = 0$ in (1.8) one has

$$|N_\lambda - \gamma_n \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \sum_{q \leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} K(q, 0, \lambda)| \leq C_\varepsilon \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4}+\varepsilon} \quad (13)$$

Using (1.13) and (1.14), the left side of (3.11) is estimated by

$$C_\varepsilon \left(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4}+\varepsilon} + \lambda^{\frac{n-3}{4}+\varepsilon} \sum_{q \leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} q^\varepsilon (\lambda, q_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{r}{2}} \right) \leq C_\varepsilon \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4}+\varepsilon} \quad (14)$$

□

Proposition 6. *Let $\xi \in S^{m-1}$ diophantine. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$\sum_{q \leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} |I_{q,\lambda}^2| \leq C_{\xi,\varepsilon} \lambda^{-\frac{n-3}{4}+\varepsilon} \quad (15)$$

Proof. First, note that $\psi(q\xi - l) = 0$ unless $l = [q\xi]$, that is the closest lattice point to the point $q\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Using the notation $\{q\xi\} = q\xi - [q\xi]$ one may write

$$m_{q,\lambda}(t\xi) = K(q, [qt\xi], \lambda) \psi(\{qt\xi\}) \tilde{\sigma} \left(\frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}{q} \{qt\xi\} \right) \quad (16)$$

By making a change of variables $t := qt$, it follows from estimates (1.12) and (1.13)

$$|I_{q,\lambda}^2| \leq C_\varepsilon (\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}/q)^{-\frac{n-3}{2}} q^{-\frac{n-1}{2}+\varepsilon} (\lambda, q_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{r}{2}} J_\lambda \quad (17)$$

where

$$J_\lambda = \int_{|t| \geq 1/8} |\hat{\phi}_{a,\delta}(t \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}/q)| \|t\xi\|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} dt \quad (18)$$

and $\|t\xi\|$ denotes the distance of the point $t\xi$ to the nearest lattice point. For $q \leq \lambda^{1/2}$ one has

$$|\hat{\phi}_{a,\delta}(t \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}/q)| \leq C (\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}/q)^{-1} |t|^{-1} (1 + \delta|t|)^{-1} \quad (19)$$

To estimate the integral J_λ one uses (2.5) and integrates over dyadic intervals $2^j \leq |t| < 2^{j+1}$ ($j \geq -3$). For a fixed j one obtains

$$\int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} t^{-1} (1 + \delta t)^{-1} \|t\xi\|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} dt \leq C_\varepsilon 2^{j\varepsilon} (1 + \delta 2^j)^{-1} \quad (20)$$

Summing over j this gives: $J_\lambda \leq C_\varepsilon (\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}/q)^{-1} \lambda^\varepsilon$. Substituting into (3.18)

$$|I_{q,\lambda}^2| \leq C_\varepsilon \lambda^{-\frac{n-1}{4}+\varepsilon} q^\varepsilon (\lambda, q_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{r}{2}} \quad (21)$$

Summing over $q \leq \lambda^{1/2}$, and using (1.14), the Proposition follows. \square

Theorem 1 follows immediately from Propositions 4-6, and estimate (3.8)

4 Fourier transform of the set of lattice points on spheres

In this section we prove the asymptotic formula (1.8). The analysis is very similar to that of [MSW], except we use a general form of the so-called Kloostermann refinement ([H-B] Lemma 7), to be described below.

Theorem (Heath-Brown) Let $Q(m)$ be a polynomial with integral coefficients, λ, N are natural numbers and $w(x)$ is a non-negative, bounded function. Then one has

$$\sum_{Q(m)=\lambda} w(m) = \sum_{q \leq N} \int_{-\frac{1}{qN}}^{\frac{1}{qN}} e^{-2\pi i \lambda \tau} S_0(q, \tau) d\tau + E_1(\lambda) \quad (1)$$

where

$$|E_1(\lambda)| \leq C N^{-2} \sum_{q \leq N} \sum_{|u| \leq q/2} (1 + |u|)^{-1} \max_{\tau \approx \frac{1}{qN}} |S_u(q, \tau)| \quad (2)$$

Here $C > 0$ is an absolute constant and

$$S_u(q, \tau) = \sum_{(a,q)=1} e^{2\pi i \frac{\bar{a}u - a\lambda}{q}} S(a/q + \tau), \quad S(\alpha) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{2\pi i \alpha Q(m)} w(m) \quad (3)$$

Note that the original formulation of Lemma 7 in [H-B] is for the homogeneous equation $F(m) = 0$, which can be used for the equation $Q(m) = \lambda$ by choosing: $F(m) = Q(m) - \lambda$.

We'll apply the above result to the polynomial $Q(m) = |m|^2$ and choose $N = \lceil \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \rceil$, $\delta = \lambda^{-1}$ and $w(x) = e^{-2\pi\delta|x|^2} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi}$, for given $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Note that

$$\sum_{Q(m)=\lambda} w(m) = \sum_{|m|^2=\lambda} e^{-2\pi\delta|m|^2} e^{2\pi i m \cdot \xi} = e^{-2\pi} \hat{w}_\lambda(\xi)$$

Substituting into (4.3) with $\alpha = a/q + \tau$ one obtains

$$S(a/q + \tau) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{2\pi i \frac{a}{q} |m|^2} h_{\tau, \delta}(m) \quad (4)$$

with $h_{\tau, \delta}(x) = e^{2\pi i ((\tau + i\delta)|x|^2 + x \cdot \xi)}$. Writing $m = qm_1 + s$ where $m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $s \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^n$ and applying Poisson summation in m_1 one obtains

$$S(a/q + \tau) = \sum_{s \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^n} e^{2\pi i \frac{a}{q} |s|^2} h_{\tau, \delta}(qm_1 + s)$$

$$= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} G(a, l, q) \tilde{h}_{\tau, \delta}(\xi - l/q) \quad (5)$$

where $G(a, l, q)$ is a standard normalized Gaussian sum, satisfying the basic estimate

$$|G(a, l, q)| = q^{-n} \left| \sum_{s \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^n} e^{2\pi i \frac{a|s|^2 - l \cdot s}{q}} \right| \leq C q^{-\frac{n}{2}} \quad (6)$$

The function $\tilde{h}_{\tau, \delta}$ denotes the Fourier transform of $h_{\tau, \delta}$ on \mathbb{R}^n , which can be evaluated explicitly

$$\tilde{h}_{\tau, \delta}(\xi - l/q) = (2(\tau + i\delta))^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi|q\xi - l|^2}{2q^2(\delta - i\tau)}} \quad (7)$$

On the range when $|\tau| \approx 1/qN \approx 1/q\lambda^{1/2}$, one has $\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{q^2(\delta - i\tau)} \right) \geq c$ for some absolute constant $c > 0$. Thus one has

$$|\tilde{h}_{\tau, \delta}(\xi - l/q)| \leq C q^{\frac{n}{2}} \lambda^{\frac{n}{4}} e^{-c|q\xi - l|^2} \quad (8)$$

Also, from (4.5)

$$S_u(q, \tau) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} K(q, l, \lambda; u) \tilde{h}_{\tau, \delta}(\xi - l/q) \quad (9)$$

where

$$K(q, l, \lambda; u) = \sum_{(a, q)=1} e^{2\pi i \frac{au - a\lambda}{q}} G(a, l, q) \quad (10)$$

Next, we derive estimates (1.13) and (1.14). Variants of these estimates are known in the literature and are going back to the original work of Kloostermann. However as it is hard to quote the exact results needed here, we include their proofs.

Proposition 7. Let $K(q, l, \lambda; u)$ be the exponential sum defined in (4.10). The for every $\varepsilon > 0$, one has

$$|K(q, l, \lambda; u)| \leq C_\varepsilon q^{\frac{n-1}{2} + \varepsilon} (\lambda, q_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{r}{2}}$$

where $q = q_1 2^r$ with q_1 odd, and (λ, q_1) denotes the greatest common divisor of λ and q_1 .

Proof. It is immediate from (4.6) that

$$|K(q, l, \lambda; u)| \leq c q^{-n/2+1} \quad (11)$$

The Gaussian sum given in (1.6) is a product of one dimensional sums. For q odd, by completing the square in the exponent, it may be written in the form (see also [S], Ch.4)

$$G(a, l, q) = q^{-n} \epsilon_q^n \left(\frac{q}{a}\right)^n e^{-2\pi i \frac{4\bar{a}|l|^2}{q}} G(1, 0, q)^n$$

where $\left(\frac{q}{a}\right)$ denotes the Jacobi symbol, ϵ_q is a 4th root of unity, and \bar{a} denotes the multiplicative inverse of $a \pmod{q}$. Substituting this into (4.10) one obtains

$$K(q, l, \lambda; u) = \epsilon_q^n q^{-n} G(1, 0, q)^n \sum_{(a,q)=1} \left(\frac{q}{a}\right)^n e^{2\pi i \frac{a\lambda + 4\bar{a}(u-|l|^2)}{q}} \quad (12)$$

The sum in (4.12) is a Kloostermann sum or Salie sum depending on whether n is even or odd. Weil's estimates ([S], Ch.4) imply

$$|K(q, l, \lambda; u)| \leq C_\varepsilon q^{-\frac{n-1}{2} + \varepsilon} (\lambda, q)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (13)$$

Estimate (1.13) follows by writing $q = q_1 q_2$, with q_1 odd and $q_2 = 2^r$, applying (4.13) to q_1 , (4.11) to $q_2 = 2^r$ and using the multiplicative property

$$K(q, l, \lambda; u) = K(q_1, l \bar{q}_2, \lambda; u \bar{q}_2^2) K(q_2, l \bar{q}_1, \lambda; u \bar{q}_1^2) \quad (14)$$

where $q_1 \bar{q}_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{q_2}$, and $q_2 \bar{q}_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{q_1}$. Property (4.14) is well-known, and is an easy computation using the Chinese Remainder Theorem. \square

Proposition 8. *Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, one has*

$$\sum_{q \leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} q^\beta (\lambda, q_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{r}{2}} \leq C_{\beta, \varepsilon} \lambda^{\frac{\beta+1}{2} + \varepsilon}$$

Proof. Let $1 \leq \mu \leq \lambda^{1/2}$. First we show that

$$\sum_{q \leq \mu} (\lambda, q_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{r}{2}} \leq C_\varepsilon \lambda^\varepsilon \mu \quad (15)$$

To see this, write $d = (\lambda, q_1)$ and $q_1 = dt$. Then d divides λ and $d2^r t \leq \mu$, hence the left side of (4.15) is majorized by

$$\sum_{d|\lambda} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}} d^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{r}{2}} \frac{\mu}{d2^r} \leq C_\varepsilon \lambda^\varepsilon \mu$$

By partial summation, the left side of (1.14) is estimated

$$C_\varepsilon \lambda^\varepsilon (\lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}} + \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mu \mu^{\beta-1}) \leq C_\varepsilon \lambda^{\frac{\beta+1}{2} + \varepsilon}$$

This proves the Proposition. □

Going back to (4.9), estimates (4.8) and (1.13) imply

$$\max_{\tau \approx \frac{1}{qN}} |S_u(q, \tau)| \leq C_\varepsilon \lambda^{\frac{n}{4}} q^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} (\lambda, q_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{r}{2}} \quad (16)$$

with a constant $C_\varepsilon > 0$ independent of u and ξ . Substituting this into (4.2) and using (1.14) for $\beta = 1/2$ one estimates the error term by

$$|E_1(\lambda)| \leq C_\varepsilon \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4} + \varepsilon} \quad (17)$$

Next, we do a number of transformations on the main term in (4.1) to arrive to the asymptotic formula (1.8) and estimate the error obtained in each step. These are similar to those in [MSW] Proposition 4.1, except here

we don't have to deal with maximal functions. The better error term of the order of $\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4}+\varepsilon}$ comes from inequality (1.13).

The main term in (4.1) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{q \leq N} \int_{-\frac{1}{qN}}^{\frac{1}{qN}} e^{-2\pi i \lambda \tau} S_0(q, \tau) d\tau = \\ & = \sum_{q \leq N} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} K(q, l, \lambda; 0) \int_{-\frac{1}{qN}}^{\frac{1}{qN}} e^{-2\pi i \lambda \tau} \tilde{h}_{\tau, \delta}(\xi - l/q) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

First, one inserts the cut off functions $\psi(q\xi - l)$ into the l -sum in (4.18). Note that $|q\xi - l| \geq 1/8$ on the support of $1 - \psi(q\xi - l)$ thus by (4.8) one has

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} (1 - \psi(q\xi - l)) |\tilde{s}_{\tau, \delta}(\xi - l/q)| \leq C (\tau^2 + \delta^2)^{-\frac{n}{4}} e^{\frac{c\delta}{q^2(\tau^2 + \delta^2)}} \quad (19)$$

with some absolute constants $C, c > 0$. Using the fact that $e^{-u} \leq Cu^{-\frac{n}{4}}$ for $u = \tau^2 + \delta^2$ one estimates the left side by $C \lambda^{\frac{n}{4}} q^{\frac{n}{2}}$. Thus the total error accumulated by inserting the cut-off functions $\psi(q\xi - l)$ in (4.19) is bounded by

$$|E_2(\lambda)| \leq C_\varepsilon \lambda^{\frac{n}{4} - \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{q \leq N} q^{-\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} (\lambda, q)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_\varepsilon \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4} + \varepsilon} \quad (20)$$

and the main term takes the form

$$\sum_{q \leq N} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} K(q, l, \lambda; 0) \psi(q\xi - l) \int_{-\frac{1}{qN}}^{\frac{1}{qN}} e^{-2\pi i \lambda \tau} \tilde{h}_{\tau, \delta}(\xi - l/q) \quad (21)$$

Next, the integration is extended to the whole real line. Note that now there is at most one nonzero term in the l -sum, and for $|\tau| \geq \frac{1}{qN} \geq \delta$ one has $|\tilde{s}_{\tau, \delta}(\xi - l/q)| \leq C \tau^{-\frac{n}{2}}$. The total error obtained in (4.21) by extending the integration is

$$|E_3(\lambda)| \leq C_\varepsilon \sum_{q \leq N} q^{-\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} (\lambda, q)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{|\tau| \geq \frac{1}{qN}} \tau^{-\frac{n}{2}} d\tau \leq C_\varepsilon \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{4} + \varepsilon} \quad (22)$$

Finally by identifying the integrals (see [MSW] Lemma 6.1)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i \lambda \tau} \tilde{h}_{\tau, \delta}(\xi) d\tau = \gamma'_n \lambda^{\frac{n}{2} - 1} \tilde{\sigma}(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi) \quad (23)$$

one arrives at the asymptotic formula (1.8) with error term $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(\xi) = E_1(\lambda) + E_2(\lambda) + E_3(\lambda)$. Estimate (1.9) follows from (4.17) (4.20) and (4.22). This proves Lemma 1. \square

5 Level surfaces of polynomials.

The aim of this section is to emphasize that estimates for the discrepancy with respect to caps of diophantine directions generalize to level surfaces of polynomials of higher degree. The proof proceeds exactly as in Section 3, using the asymptotic formula (0.6) proved in [M1], to be described below.

Let $p(m_1, \dots, m_n)$ be a non-singular, positive, homogeneous form of degree d , and assume that $n > (d-1)2^d$. Then there exists an $\eta' > 0$ depending only on n and d , such that

$$\hat{\omega}_\lambda(\xi) = \gamma_{p,n} \lambda^{\frac{n}{d} - 1} \sum_{q \leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} m_{q,\lambda}(\xi) + \mathcal{E}_\lambda(\xi) \quad \text{where} \quad (1)$$

$$|\mathcal{E}_\lambda(\xi)| \leq C_p \lambda^{\frac{n}{d} - 1 - \eta'} \quad (2)$$

holds uniformly in ξ . Moreover

$$m_{q,\lambda}(\xi) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} K_p(q, l, \lambda) \psi(q\xi - l) \tilde{\sigma}_p(\lambda^{\frac{1}{d}}(\xi - l/q)) \quad (3)$$

$$K_p(q, l, \lambda) = q^{-n} \sum_{(a,q)=1} \sum_{s \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^n} e^{2\pi i \frac{a(p(s)-\lambda) + s \cdot l}{q}} \quad (4)$$

and $\tilde{\sigma}_p$ denotes the Fourier transform of the measure σ_p on the unit level surface S_p , defined in the introduction.

We invoke the basic estimates ([M1] (1.5) and (1.13))

$$|\tilde{\sigma}_p(\xi)| \leq C (1 + |\xi|)^{-\frac{\kappa}{d-1} + 1 + \varepsilon} \quad (5)$$

$$|K_p(q, l, \lambda)| \leq C_\varepsilon q^{-\frac{\kappa}{d-1} + 1 + \varepsilon} \quad (6)$$

Here $\kappa = n/2^{d-1}$ as we assume that p is non-singular, that is the singular variety $V_p = \{0\}$. To simplify the computations, let $\tau = (\frac{\kappa}{d-1} - 2)/2 > 0$. Assuming $\varepsilon < \tau$, the exponents $-\frac{\kappa}{d-1} + 1 + \varepsilon$ in (5.5) and (5.6) can be replaced by $-\tau - 1$.

We turn to the proof of Theorem 2. Note that the smoothing estimate (3.3) holds in this case as well. Define $I_{q,\lambda}$ and E_λ as in (3.6) and (3.7), with the only change that $\lambda^{1/2}$ is replaced by $\lambda^{1/d}$. By (5.2) one has

$$|E_\lambda| \leq C_p \lambda^{\frac{n}{d} - 1 - \eta'} \quad (7)$$

We decompose the integral $I_{q,\lambda}$ as in (3.9), and note that for $|t| < 1/8q$

$$m_{q,\lambda}(t\xi) = K_p(q, 0, \lambda) \tilde{\sigma}_p(\lambda^{1/d} t\xi)$$

Using (5.2) and (5.4) and arguing as in Proposition 5, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \gamma_{n,p} \lambda^{\frac{n}{d} - 1} \sum_{q \leq \lambda^{1/d}} I_{q,\lambda}^1 - N_\lambda \int_{S_p} \phi_{a,\delta}(x \cdot \xi) d\sigma_p(x) \right| \leq \\ & \leq C \lambda^{\frac{n}{d} - 1} (\lambda^{-\eta'} + \sum_{q \leq \lambda^{1/d}} (\lambda^{1/d}/q)^{-\tau} q^{-\tau-1}) \leq C \lambda^{\frac{n}{d} - 1 - \eta} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

with say $\eta = \min(\eta', \tau/d)/2$.

By making a change of variables $t := tq$, it follows for (5.5) and (5.6)

$$|I_{q,\lambda}^2| \leq C \lambda^{-\frac{\tau}{d}} q^{-1} J_\lambda \quad (9)$$

where

$$J_\lambda = \int_{|t| \geq 1/8} |\hat{\phi}_{a,\delta}(t \lambda^{1/d}/q)| \|t\xi\|^{-\tau-1} dt \quad (10)$$

Arguing as in (3.19) and (3.20) gives: $|J_\lambda| \leq C_\varepsilon (\lambda^{\frac{1}{d}}/q)^{-1} \lambda^\varepsilon$ (note that $0 < \tau + 1 < n - 1$). Thus

$$\sum_{q \leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{d}}} |I_{q,\lambda}^2| \leq C_\varepsilon \lambda^{-\frac{\tau}{d} + \varepsilon} \quad (11)$$

Theorem 2 follows from (5.7) (5.8) and (5.11). □

REFERENCES

- [Be] J. Beck and W.L. Chen: *Irregularities of distribution* Cambridge tracts in mathematics 89 (1987), Cambridge Univ. Press
- [D] W. Duke and R. Shulze-Pillot: *Representations of integers by positive ternary quadratic forms and equidistribution of lattice points on ellipsoids* Invent. Math. 99 (1990) no. 1, pp.49-57
- [GF] E.P. Golubeva and O.M. Fomenko: *Application of spherical functions to a problem in the theory of quadratic forms* Proc. Math. Inst. Steklov, 144 (1985) 38-45, 173-174
- [H-B] D.R. Heath-Brown: *Cubic forms in ten variables* Proc. London Math. Soc (3) 47 (1983), 225-257
- [L] S. Lang: *Introduction to diophantine approximations* Springer-Verlag (1995), New York
- [M1] A. Magyar: *Diophantine equations and ergodic theorems* Amer J. Math. 124 (2002), pp. 921-953
- [M2] A. Magyar: *On the discrepancy of point distributions on spheres and hyperbolic spaces* Monats. Math. 136 (2002), pp. 287-196
- [MSW] A. Magyar, E.M. Stein, S. Wainger: *Discrete analogues in Harmonic Analysis: Spherical Averages*, Annals of Math. 155 (2002), pp. 189-208
- [P] C. Pommerenke: *Über die Gleichverteilung von Gitterpunkten auf m -dimensionalen Ellipsoiden* Acta Arith. 5 (1959), pp. 227-257
- [S] P. Sarnak: *Some applications of modular forms* Cambridge tracts in mathematics 99 (1990), Cambridge Univ. Press