

Technical interlude: geometric integration theory

An oriented Lipschitz submanifold is a simple kind of **integral current** (Federer and Fleming, 1960).

- A **current of dimension** k in a smooth Riemannian manifold S is a functional $T \in (\Omega_C^k(S))^*$ that is continuous with respect to C^∞ convergence of forms.
- The **boundary** of T is the $(k - 1)$ -dimensional current $\partial T(\phi) := T(d\phi)$.
- The **mass** of T is $\text{mass } T := \sup_{\|\phi\|_\infty \leq 1} T\phi$, where $\|\phi\|_\infty := \sup\{\phi_x(v_1, \dots, v_k) : x \in S, v_i \in T_x S, |v_i| \leq 1\}$ is the **comass**.
- The current T is **rectifiable** if

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{i*} \llbracket E_i \rrbracket \quad (1)$$

where the $E_i \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ are measurable and the $f_i : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow S$ are Lipschitz.

Definition

The abelian group $\mathbb{I}_k(\mathcal{S})$ of **integral currents of dimension k** consists of all k -dimensional locally rectifiable currents with boundary of locally finite mass.

It turns out that the boundary of an integral current is again integral. A smooth oriented submanifold V of dimension k , with smooth boundary, defines an element $[[V]] \in \mathbb{I}_k(\mathcal{S})$ by integration. Stokes's theorem may then be stated: $\partial[[V]] = [[\partial V]]$.

Theorem (Federer-Fleming (1960))

$$\mathbb{I}_k(\mathcal{S}) = \bigcup_{C < \infty} \text{clos} \left(\left\{ T = \sum [[V_i]] : V_1, V_2, \dots \text{ smooth,} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \text{mass}_U T + \text{mass}_U \partial T \leq C(U) \text{ for all } U \subset\subset \mathcal{S} \right\} \right).$$

Now suppose S is the sphere bundle SM of a smooth Riemannian manifold M (e.g. \mathbb{R}^n) of dimension n . Let $\alpha \in \Omega^1(SM)$ be the **canonical 1-form**

$$\alpha_\xi \cdot \tau := \langle \xi, \pi_* \tau \rangle$$

$\xi \in SM, \tau \in T_\xi SM, \pi : SM \rightarrow M$ the projection.

An integral current $T \in \mathbb{I}_n(SM)$ is **Legendrian** if

$$T \llcorner \alpha = 0$$

i.e.

$$\int_T \alpha \wedge \psi = 0 \quad \text{for all } \psi \in \Omega_c^{n-2}(SM)$$

This implies also that $T \llcorner d\alpha = 0$.

The Legendrian condition is weakly closed.

Maximal generality of N

(more than we can handle actually)

Theorem

Let $\phi : S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \phi(v, t) \equiv M < \infty \quad \text{for a.e. } v \in S^{n-1}.$$

Then there is at most one compactly supported Legendrian cycle $T \in \mathbb{I}_{n-1}(S\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for a.e. $v \in S^{n-1}$

$$\sum_{h_v(x)=t} \text{mult}_{(x,v)}(T \cdot (\mathbb{R}^n \times \{v\})) = \phi(v, t) \quad (2)$$

If $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and such T exists for $\phi = \Delta\chi(X, \cdot, \cdot)$ then $N(X) := T$ is the **normal cycle** of X .

Lots of embarrassing questions:

- Must such X be topologically reasonable, e.g. a neighborhood retract?
- Does the diffeomorphic image of X admit a normal cycle? i.e. do the intersections of $N(X)$ with the graphs of the gradients of smooth functions f other than height functions yield the Euler Morse indices of $f|_X$? Are these indices even well-defined (cf. the last question)?
- Suppose $X_1, X_2, \dots \downarrow X$ are compact smooth domains with mass $N(X_i) \leq C$. Must $N(X)$ exist? Simple examples show that $\lim N(X_i) \neq N(X)$ in general.

Lots of embarrassing questions:

- Must such X be topologically reasonable, e.g. a neighborhood retract?
- Does the diffeomorphic image of X admit a normal cycle? i.e. do the intersections of $N(X)$ with the graphs of the gradients of smooth functions f other than height functions yield the Euler Morse indices of $f|_X$? Are these indices even well-defined (cf. the last question)?
- Suppose $X_1, X_2, \dots \downarrow X$ are compact smooth domains with mass $N(X_i) \leq C$. Must $N(X)$ exist? Simple examples show that $\lim N(X_i) \neq N(X)$ in general.

Lots of embarrassing questions:

- Must such X be topologically reasonable, e.g. a neighborhood retract?
- Does the diffeomorphic image of X admit a normal cycle? i.e. do the intersections of $N(X)$ with the graphs of the gradients of smooth functions f other than height functions yield the Euler Morse indices of $f|_X$? Are these indices even well-defined (cf. the last question)?
- Suppose $X_1, X_2, \dots \downarrow X$ are compact smooth domains with mass $N(X_i) \leq C$. Must $N(X)$ exist? Simple examples show that $\lim N(X_i) \neq N(X)$ in general.

Lots of embarrassing questions:

- Must such X be topologically reasonable, e.g. a neighborhood retract?
- Does the diffeomorphic image of X admit a normal cycle? i.e. do the intersections of $N(X)$ with the graphs of the gradients of smooth functions f other than height functions yield the Euler Morse indices of $f|_X$? Are these indices even well-defined (cf. the last question)?
- Suppose $X_1, X_2, \dots \downarrow X$ are compact smooth domains with mass $N(X_i) \leq C$. Must $N(X)$ exist? Simple examples show that $\lim N(X_i) \neq N(X)$ in general.

The intelligence of the normal cycle: a sample result

In many ways it is easier to work in a parallel setting:

Theorem (Fu 1990, R. Jerrard 2008)

Suppose $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there is at most one closed Lagrangian current $\Gamma \in \mathbb{I}_n(T^*\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

- $\text{mass}(\Gamma \cap \pi^{-1}K) < \infty$ for all $K \subset\subset \mathbb{R}^n$
- $\int_{\Gamma} \psi(x, y) dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x, d_x f) d \text{vol}_x$ for compactly supported $\psi \in C^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In other words Γ is a completed version of the graph of df . Just as curvature integrals can be gotten from the normal cycle, so can integrals of the minors of the Hessian can be gotten from Γ , e.g.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \det D^2 f \simeq \int_{\Gamma} dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_n$$

where $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n$ are the standard coordinates of $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$.

- $n = 1$: such f are precisely the differences of convex functions.
- $n = 2$: any difference of convex functions admits such a Γ , but the converse is false.
- $n \geq 3$: ?

- $n = 1$: such f are precisely the differences of convex functions.
- $n = 2$: any difference of convex functions admits such a Γ , but the converse is false.
- $n \geq 3$: ?

- $n = 1$: such f are precisely the differences of convex functions.
- $n = 2$: any difference of convex functions admits such a Γ , but the converse is false.
- $n \geq 3$: ?

- $n = 1$: such f are precisely the differences of convex functions.
- $n = 2$: any difference of convex functions admits such a Γ , but the converse is false.
- $n \geq 3$: ?

More embarrassing questions:

- **Is such f continuous?**

— yes if $n = 2$: this is true in this dimension whenever the distributional Hessian of f is a measure (Ponce and van Schaftingen 2007).

- **If Γ exists for f , does $N(\text{graph } f)$ exist?**

In other words, do intersections with Γ give Euler Morse data of f ?

Corollary

Suppose $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ may be expressed as the locally uniform limit of a sequence f_1, f_2, \dots of smooth functions such that the absolute integrals of all minors of the Hessians of the f_k are locally bounded, i.e.

$$\int_K \left| \det \left(\frac{\partial^2 f_k}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)_{i \in I, j \in J} \right| \leq C(K), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3)$$

whenever K is compact and $I, J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ have the same cardinality. Then $\text{graph}(df_k) \rightarrow$ a current Γ as above.

Maybe all such f can be produced in this way?

Definition

$f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **twice differentiable at** x_0 if there exists a quadratic polynomial $Q_{x_0} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - Q_{x_0}(x)}{|x - x_0|^2} = 0. \quad (4)$$

Theorem (A.D. Alexandrov 1939)

If f is convex then f is twice differentiable a.e.

Theorem

Suppose f is approximable as in the Corollary. Then f is twice differentiable a.e.

Lemma

If $V \subset\subset U$ is open and $f \in C^2$ then

$$\int_V |\det D^2 f| \geq C \left(\frac{\sup_V |f| - \sup_{\text{bdry } V} |f|}{\text{diam } V} \right)^n \quad (5)$$

Proof.

If λ is a linear function with $|\lambda| < \frac{\sup_V f - \sup_{\text{bdry } V} f}{\text{diam } V}$ then $f - \lambda$ has an interior local maximum $x \in V$, with $d_x f = \lambda$. So the image of V under df includes a ball of this radius in \mathbb{R}^{n*} . □

Proof of the theorem

- By induction on n . The case $n = 1$ follows from Alexandrov's theorem.
- If the theorem holds in dimension $n - 1$, then for a.e. hyperplane $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ the restriction $f|_P$ is twice differentiable at a.e. $x \in P$. By Fubini's theorem it follows that for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the restriction $f|_P$ is twice differentiable at x for a.e. P through x . The corresponding quadratic Taylor expansions Q_P are compatible, i.e. $Q_P = Q|_P$ for some quadratic Q .
- Let ν be the limit of the sum of the measures on \mathbb{R}^n gotten by integrating the absolute minors of D^2f . We show that if the conclusion fails then ν has infinite density at x . We can replace f by $f - Q$ since the corresponding $\tilde{\nu} \leq C(1 + \|Q\|)^n \nu$.
- The regions V close to x where f is too big must all have small diameter. The Lemma applies to these V , with $\nu(V)$ on the LHS, to show that $\nu(V)$ is too big. \square

Proof of the theorem

- By induction on n . The case $n = 1$ follows from Alexandrov's theorem.
- If the theorem holds in dimension $n - 1$, then for a.e. hyperplane $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ the restriction $f|_P$ is twice differentiable at a.e. $x \in P$. By Fubini's theorem it follows that for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the restriction $f|_P$ is twice differentiable at x for a.e. P through x . The corresponding quadratic Taylor expansions Q_P are compatible, i.e. $Q_P = Q|_P$ for some quadratic Q .
- Let ν be the limit of the sum of the measures on \mathbb{R}^n gotten by integrating the absolute minors of D^2f . We show that if the conclusion fails then ν has infinite density at x . We can replace f by $f - Q$ since the corresponding $\tilde{\nu} \leq C(1 + \|Q\|)^n \nu$.
- The regions V close to x where f is too big must all have small diameter. The Lemma applies to these V , with $\nu(V)$ on the LHS, to show that $\nu(V)$ is too big. \square

Proof of the theorem

- By induction on n . The case $n = 1$ follows from Alexandrov's theorem.
- If the theorem holds in dimension $n - 1$, then for a.e. hyperplane $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ the restriction $f|_P$ is twice differentiable at a.e. $x \in P$. By Fubini's theorem it follows that for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the restriction $f|_P$ is twice differentiable at x for a.e. P through x . The corresponding quadratic Taylor expansions Q_P are compatible, i.e. $Q_P = Q|_P$ for some quadratic Q .
- Let ν be the limit of the sum of the measures on \mathbb{R}^n gotten by integrating the absolute minors of D^2f . We show that if the conclusion fails then ν has infinite density at x . We can replace f by $f - Q$ since the corresponding $\tilde{\nu} \leq C(1 + \|Q\|)^n \nu$.
- The regions V close to x where f is too big must all have small diameter. The Lemma applies to these V , with $\nu(V)$ on the LHS, to show that $\nu(V)$ is too big. \square

Proof of the theorem

- By induction on n . The case $n = 1$ follows from Alexandrov's theorem.
- If the theorem holds in dimension $n - 1$, then for a.e. hyperplane $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ the restriction $f|_P$ is twice differentiable at a.e. $x \in P$. By Fubini's theorem it follows that for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the restriction $f|_P$ is twice differentiable at x for a.e. P through x . The corresponding quadratic Taylor expansions Q_P are compatible, i.e. $Q_P = Q|_P$ for some quadratic Q .
- Let ν be the limit of the sum of the measures on \mathbb{R}^n gotten by integrating the absolute minors of D^2f . We show that if the conclusion fails then ν has infinite density at x . We can replace f by $f - Q$ since the corresponding $\tilde{\nu} \leq C(1 + \|Q\|)^n \nu$.
- The regions V close to x where f is too big must all have small diameter. The Lemma applies to these V , with $\nu(V)$ on the LHS, to show that $\nu(V)$ is too big. \square

We've used the fact the Lemma holds with the LHS replaced by $\nu(V)$.
 But does it still hold if it is replaced by

$$\text{mass}((\pi^{-1}(V) \cap \Gamma)_L dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_n) \quad ?$$

This is related to the question of the existence of the normal cycle for the graph: a positive answer would mean that intersections with Γ detect critical points of f of index zero.

The tame setting

But everything works (i.e. the embarrassing questions have generally positive answers) in any reasonable category, e.g. polyconvex or subanalytic (or constructible with respect to some o-minimal structure) sets. Using local coordinates we can also make sense of (co)normal cycles of subsets of smooth manifolds.

Theorem

Let $X, A_1, A_2, \dots \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, such that all $N(A_j)$ exist, with mass $N(A_j) \leq C < \infty$. Put $H_{v,t} := h_v^{-1}(-\infty, c]$. Suppose that for a.e. $v \in S^{n-1}$

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \chi(H_{v,c} \cap A_j) = \chi(H_{v,c} \cap X)$$

Then $N(X)$ exists, with $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} N(A_j) = N(X)$. \square

Corollary

If $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is subanalytic and compact then $N(X)$ exists. If $X_t \downarrow X$ is a proper nested subanalytic family as $t \downarrow 0$ then

$$N(X) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} N(X_t) \quad (6)$$

Proof.

- Let $g := \text{dist}(\cdot, X)$. Then g is **semiconcave** on $\mathbb{R}^n - X$, i.e. is locally expressible as $f - k$ where f is smooth and k is convex. Furthermore g has no small critical values. Therefore $\mathbb{R}^n - g^{-1}[0, r]$ is **semiconvex** for small $r > 0$, i.e. each point admits a neighborhood that is the diffeomorphic image of an open subset of a convex set $\subset \mathbb{R}^n$. So $N(\mathbb{R}^n - g^{-1}[0, r])$ exists.
- Therefore the $N(g^{-1}[0, r])$ are the images of $N(\mathbb{R}^n - g^{-1}[0, r])$ under the map $(x, v) \mapsto (x, -v)$, and constitute a proper subanalytic family of sets. Thus their masses are uniformly bounded. Furthermore

$$\lim_{r \downarrow 0} \chi(H_{v,c} \cap g^{-1}[0, r]) = \chi(H_{v,c} \cap X)$$

for generic v, t . So the last Theorem applies, and $N(X)$ exists.

- To prove (6) we apply the last paragraph again, since the $N(X_t)$ exist and themselves constitute a proper subanalytic family.

Proof.

- Let $g := \text{dist}(\cdot, X)$. Then g is **semiconcave** on $\mathbb{R}^n - X$, i.e. is locally expressible as $f - k$ where f is smooth and k is convex. Furthermore g has no small critical values. Therefore $\mathbb{R}^n - g^{-1}[0, r]$ is **semiconvex** for small $r > 0$, i.e. each point admits a neighborhood that is the diffeomorphic image of an open subset of a convex set $\subset \mathbb{R}^n$. So $N(\mathbb{R}^n - g^{-1}[0, r])$ exists.
- Therefore the $N(g^{-1}[0, r])$ are the images of $N(\mathbb{R}^n - g^{-1}[0, r])$ under the map $(x, v) \mapsto (x, -v)$, and constitute a proper subanalytic family of sets. Thus their masses are uniformly bounded. Furthermore

$$\lim_{r \downarrow 0} \chi(H_{v,c} \cap g^{-1}[0, r]) = \chi(H_{v,c} \cap X)$$

for generic v, t . So the last Theorem applies, and $N(X)$ exists.

- To prove (6) we apply the last paragraph again, since the $N(X_t)$ exist and themselves constitute a proper subanalytic family.

Proof.

- Let $g := \text{dist}(\cdot, X)$. Then g is **semiconcave** on $\mathbb{R}^n - X$, i.e. is locally expressible as $f - k$ where f is smooth and k is convex. Furthermore g has no small critical values. Therefore $\mathbb{R}^n - g^{-1}[0, r]$ is **semiconvex** for small $r > 0$, i.e. each point admits a neighborhood that is the diffeomorphic image of an open subset of a convex set $\subset \mathbb{R}^n$. So $N(\mathbb{R}^n - g^{-1}[0, r])$ exists.
- Therefore the $N(g^{-1}[0, r])$ are the images of $N(\mathbb{R}^n - g^{-1}[0, r])$ under the map $(x, v) \mapsto (x, -v)$, and constitute a proper subanalytic family of sets. Thus their masses are uniformly bounded. Furthermore

$$\lim_{r \downarrow 0} \chi(H_{v,c} \cap g^{-1}[0, r]) = \chi(H_{v,c} \cap X)$$

for generic v, t . So the last Theorem applies, and $N(X)$ exists.

- To prove (6) we apply the last paragraph again, since the $N(X_t)$ exist and themselves constitute a proper subanalytic family.

Again Groemer's Integral Theorem \implies any compactly supported subanalytically constructible function $\alpha = \sum c_j 1_{X_j}$ admits a normal cycle, with

$$N(\alpha) = \sum c_j N(X_j)$$

if the X_j are compact.

Theorem (Specialization formula)

Let $\{X_t \subset \mathbb{R}^n\}_{t>0}$ be a proper subanalytic family. Then

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} N(X_t) = N(\alpha) \tag{7}$$

where α is the constructible function

$$\alpha(p) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \chi(X_t \cap B(p, \epsilon)) \tag{8}$$

Proof.

This follows from

- If $\alpha = \sum c_i 1_{X_i}$, X_i compact, then for small $t > 0$

$$\chi(X_t) = \sum c_i \chi(X_i)$$

- Put $\alpha_{v,c}$ for the limiting constructible function for the family $\{X_t \cap H_{v,c}\}$. Then for generic v, c

$$\alpha_{v,c} = \alpha|_{H_{v,c}}$$

