

# BERTRAND'S POSTULATE

NEIL LYALL

**Bertrand's Postulate.** *For every  $n > 1$ , there is a prime number  $p$  such that  $n < p < 2n$ .*

Our proof will be by contradiction. We will assume that there are no primes between  $n$  and  $2n$  and conclude that the binomial coefficient  $\binom{2n}{n}$  is then smaller than should be.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

The following observations are the key to our argument.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer, then*

$$\prod_{p \leq n} p < 4^n,$$

where the product on the left has one factor for each prime  $p \leq n$ .

**Theorem 2. Legendre's Theorem.** *The number  $n!$  contains the prime factor  $p$  exactly*

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^k} \right\rfloor$$

times. Here  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denotes the floor function of  $x$ .

*Proof.* Exactly  $\lfloor n/p \rfloor$  of the factors of  $n!$  are divisible by  $p$ , while exactly  $\lfloor n/p^2 \rfloor$  of the factors of  $n!$  are divisible by  $p^2, \dots$  □

**Corollary 3.** *The binomial coefficient  $\binom{2n}{n}$  contains the prime factor  $p$  exactly*

$$s_p = \sum_{k \geq 1} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{2n}{p^k} \right\rfloor - 2 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^k} \right\rfloor \right) \leq \max\{r : p^r \leq 2n\}$$

times.

As a consequence of the identity in Corollary 3 we obtain the following key facts.

**Key Facts.** *If  $s_p$  is the largest power of  $p$  that divides  $\binom{2n}{n}$ , then*

- (i)  $p^{s_p} \leq 2n$
- (ii) If  $\sqrt{2n} < p$ , then  $s_p \leq 1$
- (iii) If  $2n/3 < p \leq n$ , then  $s_p = 0$ .

## 2. PROOF OF BERTRAND'S POSTULATE

We start by assuming that there are no primes  $p$  with  $n < p < 2n$ . It follows from the **key facts** and Theorem 1 that

$$\binom{2n}{n} = \prod_{p \leq 2n/3} p^{s_p} \leq \prod_{p \leq \sqrt{2n}} p^{s_p} \cdot \prod_{p \leq 2n/3} p < (2n)^{\sqrt{2n}/2-1} 4^{2n/3}.$$

If we now use the fact that

$$2n \binom{2n}{n} \geq \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2n}{k} = 4^n,$$

we can conclude that

$$4^{2n/3} < (2n)^{\sqrt{2n}}.$$

Taking logs of both sides and substituting  $n = 2^{2k+1}$ , for some integer  $k$ , we see that this necessarily implies

$$2^k < \frac{3}{2}(k+1),$$

which is clearly false whenever  $k \geq 3$ . This proves Bertrand's postulate for  $n \geq 2^7 = 128$ .

For the cases where  $n < 128$  it suffices to check that

$$2, 3, 5, 7, 13, 23, 43, 83, 163$$

is a sequence of primes, where each is smaller than twice the previous one.

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

The proof is by induction over  $n$ . For  $n = 2$  we have  $2 < 4^2$ , which is certainly true. This provides a basis for the induction. Let us now assume that the statement has been proven for all integers smaller than  $n$ . We may assume that  $n$  is odd [why?], say  $n = 2k + 1$ . Now since  $\prod_{k+1 < p \leq n} p$  is a divisor of  $\binom{n}{k+1}$ , we obtain

$$\prod_{p \leq n} p = \prod_{p \leq k+1} p \cdot \prod_{k+1 < p \leq n} p < 4^{k+1} \binom{n}{k+1} < 4^{k+1} 2^{n-1} = 4^n,$$

as required. Here we used the inductive hypothesis and the fact that

$$2 \binom{2k+1}{k+1} = \binom{2k+1}{k} + \binom{2k+1}{k+1} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{2k+1} \binom{2k+1}{j} = 2^{2k+1}.$$

## 4. EXERCISES

1. Carefully verify Theorem 2 and how each of the **key facts** follow from it.
2. Fill in any details which are missing in the two proofs above, the presentation was admittedly a little brief.